

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.

It is not fair that Sinclair be able to freely manipulate the 'public' airwaves for a clear partisan response force fed into our living rooms. I believe that Sinclair is entitled to their position, however, they must do like Michael Moore and Fahrenheit 9/11 - you had to go to a theater and pay money - not free airwave manipulation into our homes... this is wrong and very un-american... and very very typical of a Presidential administration that is clearly desperate...

Tom Russo
Atlanta GA